## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES FILED

2008 JUL 15 AM 8: 36 space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO:	U. S. DISTRIC	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT SQUIME HIGHER SQUIME DISTRICT COURT SQUIME HIGHER SQUIME HIGHER  CLERK US DISTRICT COURT SQUIME HIGHER	
CASE NO.: 08cv927 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Manuel Gonzalez-Leyton			
CASE TITLE: Gonzalez-Leyton v. Chertoff et al			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Motion and Motion for Appointment of Counsel			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
x   	5.1 5.3 <b>5.4</b>	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation  Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper  Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
		OTHER:	
	<b>Date forwarded:</b> <u>6/11/08</u>		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
0	The document is to	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.		
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers		
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1  CHAMBERS OF:		
Dated: 1/14/08  cc: All Parties  By: M. Leuce Lan			